



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/628,804	07/28/2000	Norbert Venet	Q60260	3500
75	90 02/21/2002			
Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC Suite 800 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER	
			TUGBANG, ANTHONY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729 DATE MAILED: 02/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(É

<u>~</u> :					
1 th	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/628,804	VENET ET AL.			
Advisory Notion	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dexter Tugbang	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover she t with th	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 05 February 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	IVOID ADANDONMENT OF THIS APPIL 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data was been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 asion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered in	pecause:				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Attachment.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:				
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims with the proposed amendment of the proposed amendment	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S:				
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: None.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 8-11</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	··			
10 Cher	9				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Application/Control Number: 09/628,804

Art Unit: 3729

Attachment to Advisory Action

In the proposed After Final amendment (filed 2/5/02) the following new issues have been raised.

Regarding Claim 1, the recitation of "the respective conductive tracks (line 15) lacks positive antecedent basis. Also, it is unclear whether this recitation is referring to "a respective set of turns of conductive tracks" (line 6) or "sets of turns of conductive tracks" (line 2). Furthermore, it is unclear if the recitations of "a respective set of turns of conductive tracks" (line 6) or "sets of turns of conductive tracks" (line 2) are referring to the same conductive tracks or completely different conductive tracks. How many conductive tracks are there? The above ambiguities raise new issues under 112, 2nd paragraph.

Regarding Claim 8 and the step of interconnecting (beginning at line 14), the interpretation of this step was that the previously claimed "conductive track elements" (line 14 of Claim 8 of the amendment filed on 7/25/01, Paper No. 7) were completely different and separate claimed elements from the "first conductive track" (line 3) and the "second conductive track" (line 6). Now applicants propose (in the After Final amendment, Paper No. 10) that the recitation of "track elements" be removed such that now, the step of interconnecting is exclusively referring to the previous recitations of the first and second conductive tracks. This proposal narrows the scope of the claims requiring further consideration by the examiner.

Accordingly, the above new issues do not place the application in condition for allowance.